**Instructions:**

1. **Fill out all boxes highlighted in yellow on page 1.**
2. **Read all the comments, then copy/paste or type your abstract directly into this template on page 2.**
3. **You are encouraged to read the rubric on page 3-5, but do not alter or remove it.**
4. **Follow the instructions at the bottom of the following webpage to submit your abstract as an MS Word Document (do NOT convert to PDF):** [**https://urncst.com/index.php/urncst/encyclopedia\_entry\_initiative\_submit\_abstract**](https://urncst.com/index.php/urncst/encyclopedia_entry_initiative_submit_abstract)

|  |
| --- |
| **PERSONAL INFORMATION****This should be filled out by the corresponding author of the abstract making the submission.** |
| Full Name of Author 1 |  |
| Institutional Email Address of Author 1 |  |
| Full Name of Author 2 (if applicable) |  |
| Institutional Email Address of Author 2 |  |
| Full Name of Author 3 (if applicable) |  |
| Institutional Email Address of Author 3 |  |
| Name of Your Institution(s) Attended/Attending |  |
| Your Degree Program(s) (i.e., Bachelor of Biology) |  |
| Your [Anticipated] Year(s) of Graduation |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Terms and Conditions of the URNCST Journal Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Encyclopedia Entry Competition****Please read the following carefully.** |
| 1. The URNCST Journal Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Encyclopedia Entry Competition is open to any undergraduate student (BSc, BHSc, BEng, etc.) or professional-undergraduate student (MD, PharmD, DDS, etc.) with interest in submitting a abstract that demonstrates their critical thinking on a research topic as provided by the URNCST Journal. By submitting your abstract to the URNCST Journal you agree to the following terms and conditions:
* All co-authors are or were an undergraduate or professional-undergraduate student at the time during which the URNCST Journal Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Encyclopedia Entry abstract was written and it has not been previously published, nor is it before another journal for consideration. Furthermore, all students may only submit ONE abstract per competition round.
* By submitting this form, authors give the URNCST Journal permission to contact them via email regarding their abstract submission and occasional updates about opportunities offered by the URNCST Journal or one of its partners.
* The submitting (corresponding) author has obtained permission from all co-authors (if any) to submit their abstract to the URNCST Journal Artificial Intelligence-Assisted EncyclopediaEntry Initiative Competition with the understanding that, if accepted, authors will be invited, and are prepared, to write and revise a full-length encyclopedia entry on a topic of interest for the URNCST Journal, using the assistance of artificial intelligence chatbots, but will fact-check the content generated against the credible sources (e.g., the peer-reviewed literature). Furthermore, the authors have ascertained that the order of authorship has been agreed upon by all authors.
* The submitting (corresponding) author has ensured that all (co-)authors are aware that should they be invited to write a abstract and it is accepted for publication following peer review, a $350.00 CAD (or the equivalent in your local currency) plus applicable taxes article processing fee is due to the URNCST Journal. Furthermore, authors understand that by submitting a abstract, and thereby participating in this competition, they have the available funds and intention to cover the costs of this article processing fee. All authors understand that their abstract may be accepted or declined throughout the review process and that if either is declined, no fees are due.

Do you agree to these terms and conditions? If yes, please type “Yes” in the box directly below. Please do not make a submission if you do not agree with one or more of the aforementioned terms and conditions. |
| Answer: |
| 1. We value undergraduate students’ suggestions regarding topics that would interest them in participating in future encyclopedia competitions. What are some topics that would interest you in submitting a future abstract?
 |
| Answer: |

**IMPORTANT:** Your encyclopedia entry must be **350 words or less** and contain an **introduction and a body with relevant information appropriate to the topic** as shown in the template below. References do not count toward your word count. **Your submission will be completed on the topic of your choice and must be in the format of an encyclopedia entry where you will summarize information currently available. It is not acceptable to simply summarize a single study already published in the literature by an academic journal.** **The order of the authorship should be discussed and agreed upon prior to submission. This initiative encourages you to use artificial intelligence chatbots to help generate your submission, however, you must fact-check the content generated against the credible sources (e.g., the peer-reviewed literature).** Please do **not** change the formatting of the template. No tables or figures may be included with your entry. We encourage you to read the rubric provided carefully, as it provides a guide as to how your entry will be scored; please do **not** alter or remove it.

**Title goes here**

(Important Note Regarding Title: Participants in this initiative are **NOT** answering a research question, such as “Is methotrexate effective for treating cancer?”. Please do not propose a research question. Rather, successful applicants will write an encyclopedia entry article akin to that of Encyclopedia Britannica (Two possible example titles could include: “[cancer](https://www.britannica.com/science/cancer-disease)” or “[methotrexate](https://www.britannica.com/science/methotrexate)”)).

*YourFirstname M. YourLastname, BSc Student [1], Firstname M. Lastname, BSc Student [2,3]*

*[1] Department of Somewhere, University of Somewhere, City, State/Province, Country Zip/Postal*

*[2] Department of Somewhere, University of Somewhere, City, State/Province, Country Zip/Postal*

*[3] Department of Somewhere, University of Somewhere, City, State/Province, Country Zip/Postal*

**Introduction and Definition:** The introduction portion of your abstract should concisely describe the context, purpose, and history of your topic. The definition portion of your abstract should provide a verbatim definition of the topic of your encyclopedia entry taken from an authoritative source; this definition should be placed in quotation marks.

**Body:** The body portion of your abstract should provide an overview of knowledge about your topic. Here, you can include different subheadings that you would propose to include in your full-length encyclopedia entry. Some examples include, but are not necessarily limited to the following: history, fundamental concepts, current research, recent findings, new research, and implications and future directions. Encyclopedia entries focus on *factual information* concerning the subject; authors should not introduce their personal opinions or recommendations.

**References:** Please include in-text citations in the sections above and list all references relevant to your abstract submission in Vancouver format here.

**Artificial Intelligence Chatbot(s) Used:** Please list all artificial intelligence chatbots used to assist you in generating this abstract.

**Ready to submit your abstract?** Please remember to proofread your abstract for both content and style prior to making your submission. Please note that due to the volume of summaries received, no further changes will be permitted to be made to your abstract once it has been submitted. Follow the instructions at the bottom of the following webpage to submit your abstract: [**https://urncst.com/index.php/urncst/encyclopedia\_entry\_initiative\_submit\_abstract**](https://urncst.com/index.php/urncst/encyclopedia_entry_initiative_submit_abstract)

***Important Note: Upon submitting your abstract, please ensure ALL authors add the domain “@urncst.com” to their safe senders list.***

If you have any questions or encounter any difficulties, please send an email to competition@urncst.com.

**Thank you for your interest in submitting a abstract to the**

**URNCST Journal Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Encyclopedia Entry Competition!**

Keep in touch! Find, like, and follow the URNCST Journal on social media:

| [**Facebook**](https://www.facebook.com/urncst) | [**Twitter**](https://twitter.com/urncst) | [**LinkedIn**](https://www.linkedin.com/company/urncst) |

**FOR EDITORIAL OFFICE USE ONLY.**

**Instructions for Submitting Student:** Read section A; your encyclopedia entry will be graded against this rubric. Do NOT alter, edit or write on Section A or B of this rubric.

**Instructions for Evaluator:** Read section A. Fill in all sections, calculate the total out of 10 in Section B.

|  |
| --- |
| **Section A. Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Encyclopedia Entry Evaluation Rubric:** Please use this rubric as a guideline in scoring the “Section B. Encyclopedia Entry Evaluation and Overall Scores”. Please do not write anything in this section. |
| **Section** | **2 points** | **1 point** | **0 points** |
| **Introduction****(Topic description and Context/Background, History)** | * Topic is initially described in a brief and concise manner.
* Introduction provides sufficient background and context for the chosen topic. The information provided is not too general or too specific.
* History includes all applicable aspects (e.g. dates, names, location)
* The relevance of the topic in the broader scientific field is well explained.
 | * Initial topic description could be described in a more concise manner.
* Introduction provides adequate background and context for the chosen topic. The information provided is a bit general or too specific.
* History includes some applicable aspects (e.g. dates, names, location).
* The relevance of the topic in the broader scientific field is adequately explained.
 | * Initial topic description is lengthy and hard to follow.
* Introduction provides poor background and context for the chosen topic. The information provided is too general or too specific.
* History includes no/few applicable aspects (e.g. dates, names, location).
* The relevance of the topic in the broader scientific field is not/poorly explained.
* Or, provides no discussion of the resources used in the investigation.
 |
|  | **4 points** | **2 points** | **0 points** |
| **Body** | * The entry accurately represents the topic and provides current information.
* The entry is very well-organized and easy to follow.
* Covers all relevant and important aspects of the topic and includes important details and examples that help to fully explain the topic.
* Supporting information is relevant and recent to the topic.
 | * The entry somewhat accurately represents the topic, providing minimal current information/ provides out-of-date information.
* Structuring of the overall entry is organized to some degree.
* Covers most relevant and important aspects of the topic and includes a few important details and examples that help to fully explain the topic.
* Supporting information is either not relevant or not recent to the topic.
 | * The entry poorly represents the topic, providing no current information/the information is out-of-date.
* Structuring of the overall entry is poorly executed and difficult to follow.
* Covers very few relevant and important aspects of the topic.
* Lacks important details/examples to help fully explain the topic.
* Supporting information is neither relevant nor recent to the topic.
 |
|  | **2 points** | **1 point** | **0 points** |
| **References** | * All references are from credible scientific sources.
* The references are current and up-to-date, representing the most recent research available.
* All references are cited in Vancouver format and included at the bottom of the submission as a separate section.
* The references provided are relevant and appropriate to the topic chosen. They support the contents of the entry and provide evidence if needed.
 | * One reference is not from credible scientific sources.
* One reference is not current nor up-to-date.
* One reference is not cited properly in Vancouver format.
* The references provided are somewhat relevant and appropriate to the topic chosen. They weakly support the contents of the entry.
 | * Two or more references are not from credible scientific sources.
* Two or more references are not current nor up-to-date.
* Two or more references are not cited properly in Vancouver format.
* The references provided are not relevant and appropriate to the topic chosen. They do not support the contents of the entry.
* Or, references are missing from submission.
 |
|  | **2 points** | **1 point** | **0 points** |
| **Mechanics** | * No errors in spelling, language or grammar.
* Defined all acronyms and associated jargon on their first use.
* Organized information via headings relevant to the topic of choice.
* Appropriate scientific notation relating to the topic chosen.
* Times New Roman font, size 12.
* Uses third-person language consistently throughout writing.
 | * Up to three errors in spelling, language, or grammar.
* Or, up to two undefined acronyms.
* Headings are used to organize information but not relevant/suitable to the topic of choice.
* Scientific notation relating to the topic chosen contains up to two errors.
* Either font size is not 12 or font is not Times New Roman.
* Uses third-person language inconsistently throughout writing with up to two errors.
 | * Four or more errors in spelling, language, or grammar.
* Or, contains three or more undefined acronyms.
* Organizational headings not relevant to the topic of choice or not included.
* Incorrect scientific notation relating to the topic chosen.
* Font size is not 12 and font not Times New Roman.
* Does not use third-person language or uses third-person language with three or more errors.
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Section B. Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Encyclopedia Entry Evaluation and Overall Scores:** Enter (0-2) in each section corresponding with the Encyclopedia Entry Evaluation Rubric as described in Section A. Please do **NOT** award partial points (i.e. 0.5 or 1.5). Once completed, provide the final score under “Total” (0-10). |
|  | **Introduction** | **Body** | **Conclusion** | **Mechanics** | **Total** |
| **Score** |  |  |  |  |  |