REVIEW

The Effects of Aging on Gut Microbiome Composition and Association With Age-Related Disease States: A Literature Review

Abaigeal L. Kelso, BKin Student [1]*

[1] School of Kinesiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1

*Corresponding Author: akelso@student.ubc.ca

Abstract



"Research in Earnest"

Introduction: The gut microbiome is the collection of microbial species residing in the gastrointestinal tract that play an important role in metabolism and immune function. A reduction in microbial diversity and/or an altered composition of microbiota results in dysbiosis, which is speculated to place individuals at greater risk for neurological, metabolic, and physical disorders. The purpose of this study is to provide a review of the literature describing alterations in composition and species richness that occur during aging in the gut microbiome whilst identifying how these changes are linked to age-related diseases.

Methods: A review of the current literature was conducted by searching for applicable keywords using scientific, electronic databases. Keywords used to search for articles included ("gut microbiome" OR "gut microbiota" OR "bacteria flora") AND ("aging" OR "ageing" OR "old age") AND ("age-related disease" OR "disease"). Articles were screened and chosen for analysis based on the quality and relevance of the study.

Results: There are many changes that occur in the gut microbiome with aging, such as reduced short-chain fatty acid production, lack of overall diversity and increase in pathobionts from phyla Proteobacteria and Enterobacteriaceae. Many age-related diseases display distinct changes in microbiome composition which have been shown to be implicated in disease onset or progression. In cases of extreme longevity, the microbiome displays specific signatures associated with youthfulness and health such as stability, resilience, and taxonomic diversity.

Discussion: The alterations observed in the gut microbiome during aging are likely due to a concurrent deterioration of the immune system and reduction in intestinal function and motility. Microbial dysbiosis promotes a pro-inflammatory state in the gut which has implications for disease. Additionally, many microbial signatures of aging coincided with alterations attributed to diseased states, further supporting that dysbiosis in later years of life may accelerate or promote pathology. In contrast, the microbiome of centenarians and extremely long-lived individuals demonstrate a model for healthy aging and longevity.

Conclusion: The findings from this study highlight the importance of the microbiome in age-related diseases and proposes the microbiome as a potential target for the mitigation and treatment of disease in elderly populations.

Keywords: microbiome; aging; dysbiosis; age-related disease; inflammation; longevity; gut-brain axis

Introduction

Today's older population is rapidly increasing as the human life expectancy has extended dramatically in the past few decades [1]. Although lifespan is still on the rise, it does not translate to overall health or well-being in the older population [2]. Recent developments in the field of geroscience have allowed for the identification of the seven interconnected pillars of aging, including inflammation, epigenetics, stem cell regeneration, proteostasis and metabolism, along with their underlying mechanistic processes as they relate to diseased states [3]. Indeed, the link between aging and chronic disease is well established, with age being a significant risk factor for cardiometabolic disease, non-alcoholic liver disease, certain cancers, neurodegenerative diseases, and type two diabetes [3]. Thus, increasing the average health-span of the aging population is of particular interest to researchers in the clinical field.

The gut microbiome is a key organ in the human body that is gaining more and more recognition for its implications in the aging process and disease risk. The gut microbiome, as we understand it today, is a collection of micro-organisms that reside in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) that engage in a symbiotic relationship with the host in a healthy state [4]. The human microbiome has a significant presence in the human body as it contributes approximately 360 times more protein-coding genes than the human genome and consists of trillions of organisms

outnumbering human cells by a factor of ten [5,6]. This organ plays a vital role in many physiological processes and helps to maintain immune homeostasis [6]. The microbiota has the unique ability to break down indigestible compounds such as dietary fiber and certain proteins to increase energy availability and produce metabolites such as short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) [7]. In addition, the microbiome performs many other metabolic functions such as the synthesis of requisite vitamins, polyphenol activation, and secondary bile acid production [8,9]. Due to the presence of both beneficial and potentially harmful micro-organisms in the GIT, the immune system maintains close interactions with the microbiota and is critical in shaping the immune system of the host [10]. Further, the microbiota elicits many structural and protective functions to maintain immunity within the gut [11]. The gut microbiome and its metabolites are also capable of performing crosstalk with other organs including the brain, liver, and lungs [12].

The human microbiome undergoes many significant changes throughout our lifetime. Upon birth, robust growth in microbial species leads to initial development of the infant's microbiome, which varies based off delivery method and the use of breast milk or formula [13]. After approximately three years of age, the gut microbiome begins to stabilize and becomes fully established [14]. These stabilizations will last until adulthood with slight changes occurring due to both diurnal variations and lifestyle factors including diet, smoking and exercise habits, antibiotic use, and geographical location [15].

Defining an ideal microbiome is extremely difficult due to the amount of individual variation in taxonomic composition, however, there are certain characteristics attributed with a healthy gut microbiome such as high taxonomic diversity, microbial gene richness and stability among microbial communities [16]. Among healthy adults, the relative abundances of each phylum remain consistent, Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes being most abundant with Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria presenting at a lesser extent [17]. It is also understood that despite individual taxonomic variations, most organisms in the microbiota exhibit similar metabolic and structural functions that are either harmless or beneficial for host health and development [18]. When the microbiota deviates from these normal characteristics, it can result in dysbiosis, a condition characterized by a reduction in beneficial microbiota, increase in potentially harmful organisms and/or an overall lack of species diversity [19]. The pathological alterations that occur in the microbiome contribute to inflammaging, a low-grade form of chronic inflammation that presents itself with progressive age [20]. Due to the important role that the microbiota plays in biological functioning and inflammatory processes, a decline in functionality of the microbiome may be a strong predictor of age-related disease states. The primary aim of this review is to identify patterns of age displayed by the gut microbiome

and to examine how this relates to an increased incidence of disease states among older adults.

Methods

To conduct this study, a comprehensive review of available literature was performed. This was accomplished by using electronic scientific databases including PubMed, Google Scholar, and Ovid Medline. The main search terms used were: ("gut microbiome" OR "gut microbiota" OR "bacteria flora") AND ("aging" OR "ageing" OR "old age") AND ("age-related disease" OR "disease"). Recent publications from the year 2000 onwards were included to ensure that literature was up to date. Both primary and secondary research articles were included in the search strategy. Assessment for inclusion used a "3 pass approach" to critically analyze papers for both quality and relevance [21]. The first pass consisted of reading the title, abstract, introduction and conclusion to gain an initial sense of the publication and determine whether the paper met criteria for inclusion. Next, the related work sections and reference list were analyzed to find other potential studies for inclusion and to identify key researchers and publications within the field. Finally, a third pass was employed to gain an in-depth understanding of the publication, to the extent that its contents could be mentally re-created by the researcher.

Results

Age-Related Changes in the Gut Microbiome of Older Adults

The number of different taxa and evenness of taxa within a sample, referred to as alpha diversity of the microbiome, has been shown to decrease with old age [22]. In contrast, uniqueness of microbial profiles between samples known as beta diversity, was greater in older compared to younger individuals [23]. During aging, the gut microbiome demonstrates a reduction in genes involved in SCFA production as well as an expansion of pathobionts from the family Enterobacteriaceae [24]. Bacterial genera that offer benefits to the host such as Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus are often lower in abundance with advanced age [25]. A similar trend was observed in fibrolytic organisms from Eubacterium and Faecalibacterium genera [26]. Conflicting results regarding levels of Bacteroides have been reported with some studies demonstrating decreased levels [26] and others suggesting an increase with age [27]. At the phylum level, bacterial composition in older adults typically displays fewer Actinobacteria compared with younger counterparts and demonstrates elevated levels of pathogenic species classified as Proteobacteria [28]. Another age-related change is a greater proportion of Bacteroidetes to Firmicutes in the microbiota [27]. Other bacterial species such as Akkermansia muciniphila and Ruminococcus bromii, involved in mucin and starch degradation respectively, have been shown to decrease with

age, while the species Ruminococcus gnavus, commonly associated with dysbiosis, increases [29]. Additionally, the microbiota displays greater impairment of metabolic function in older age groups, specifically through a decrease in vitamin, nitrogenous base, and essential amino acid synthesis [20]. Age-related dysbiosis and changes in microbial composition have also been shown to increase intestinal permeability in mouse models, due to chronic activation of inflammatory pathways, resulting in a leaky gut [30, 31]. Disrupted gut barrier integrity also showed strong correlations with old age in *Drosophila* and was found to be a strong indicator of all-cause mortality [32].

Extreme Longevity, Healthy Aging and the Gut Microbiome

The gut microbiome displays a unique profile in cases of extreme longevity. Notably, the microbiota of centenarians displays many youth-associated signatures and lower levels of inflammatory bacteria [33]. A greater abundance of beneficial bacterial species from the Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes phyla was also observed in centenarians [33]. Another pattern observed in a study of the fecal microbiome of semi-supercentenarians, was a shift towards health-associated bacterial genera Bifidobacterium, Akkermansia, and Christensenellaceae [22]. Further, after a 1.5-year period, one study demonstrated a depletion in opportunistic bacteria from the Proteobacteria phylum in the microbiome of both healthy, young adults and longlived individuals [33]. In contrast with older adults, those with extreme longevity display greater levels of alpha diversity with increased species evenness, which was linked to greater levels of microbial stability over time [34, 35]. Inter-individual differences become more prevalent with older age, a trend that was upheld in individuals who demonstrated signs of healthy aging, but not in those with declining health or increased mortality risk [26]. Additionally, microbial signatures in long-lived individuals demonstrate increases in functional pathways such as those involved in the production of SCFAs and cellular respiration, as well as metabolic pathways linked to the production of vitamins B2 and K2 [36, 37].

Implications of the Microbiome in Disease States

The gut microbiome also has implications in gastrointestinal diseases. Multiple studies have identified increases in opportunists including *Fusobacterium nucleatum, Bacteroides fragilis, Enterococcus faecalis*, and strains of *Escherichia coli* in individuals with colorectal cancer [37-39]. Microbial toxins produced from these bacteria become involved in signaling pathways related to cancer regulation, including cell propagation, cell growth cycle, differentiation, and apoptosis [40]. Additionally, perturbation of the physical and microbial barriers of the gastrointestinal tract, associated with buildup of bacteria from the Enterobacteriaceae family, is correlated with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) [41]. Chronic

gastrointestinal inflammation, characteristic of IBD, upregulates the process of inflammaging [42] and is recognized as a risk factor for many age-related diseases, including Parkinsons disease (PD) [43].

In instances of cardio-metabolic disease (CMD), the microbiome displays an enrichment of Enterobacteriaceae, specifically in bacterial strains Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp. and Enterobacter aerogenes [44]. Functional pathways in the microbiome involved in the production of endotoxins and trimethylamine (TMA) have also been shown to increase in CMD [44, 45]. The production of TMA is especially relevant to CMD as it is oxidized by the liver into trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) which is recognized as a biomarker for arteriosclerosis [46, 47]. Furthermore, TMAO production derived from microbiota has been hypothesized to exacerbate ischemic heart disease or stroke risk [48]. Additionally, patients with hypertension tend show a reduction in species richness and evenness [49]. The results of one study demonstrated that the blood pressure of normotensive mice was elevated upon fecal microbiota transplant from the stool of mice with hypertension [50]. Furthermore, gut microbiota may enable hypertension and vascular dysfunction as shown by a reduction in blood pressure increase and cardiac inflammation in germ-free mice [51]. In non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) the gut microbiome demonstrates a greater abundance of species Prevotella, Streptococcus, from Escherichia, and Lactobacillus, compared with healthy controls, whereas Coprococcus, Faecalibacterium and Ruminococcus were less abundant [52]. One study also identified higher levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, as well as mucosal barrier disruption among NAFLD patients [53]. Another source reported increased levels of pro-inflammatory bacterial strains belonging to Proteobacteria and Enterobacteriaceae in individuals with NAFLD [54]. Moreover, the microbiota of NAFLD patients has been shown to produce elevated levels of 2-butanone and 4-methyl-2-pentanone, which can induce liver toxicity [55]. Increased prevalence of alcoholproducing bacterial strains such as Klebsiella pneumoniae are attributed with NAFLD while expansion of Escherichia spp. may contribute to portal endotoxemia through the activation of nuclear factor-KB signaling pathways [56, 57].

In relation to diabetes, disruption of microbial diversity in the intestine has also been shown to impair blood glucose homeostasis [58]. This is supported by findings indicating that individuals in the pre-diabetic state were shown to have dysbiotic signatures, including a reduction in overall diversity and a decrease in butyrate-producing bacteria from the Bifidobacterium genera [59]. The gut profile of individuals with type two diabetes was found to be associated with increased pathogenic bacteria including *Escherichia coli, Fusobacterium* as well as the *Clostridium*, genus which has been shown to be negatively correlated with fasting glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin [60]. The functional profile of the microbiome in diabetes reflects an increase in sugar and branched chain amino acid transport,

a subsequent decrease in vitamin production and disruption of gut barrier integrity [61, 62].

The microbiome is also implicated in neurological disorders such as Alzheimer's disease (AD) and Parkinson's disease (PD). Particularly, individuals with PD mucin-degrading genera display enrichment of Akkermansia, Lactobacillus, and Bifidobacterium [63]. PD is also linked to depletion of important SCFA producers such as the Lachnospiraceae family as well as the Faecalibacterium and Protevotella genus, which possess anti-inflammatory effects by increasing activity of regulatory T cells [63-65]. Additionally, levels of α synuclein, a protein found in neurotoxic Lewy bodies which accumulate in the PD brain, were lower in germ free mice compared to regular mice within an a-synucleinoverexpressing (ASO) model of PD [66, 67]. Notably, specific pathogen free (SPF) mice who had undergone a fecal transplant from PD patients displayed an overexpression of α -synuclein, which was not observed in SPF mice with transplants from healthy patients [67]. Escherichia coli has also been linked to a-synuclein formation in the gut through the production of an amyloid protein, curli [68]. An increase in intestinal α-synuclein aggregation was also correlated with greater intestinal permeability [69], as the persistence of pro-inflammatory cytokines has been shown to induce oxidative stress to neurons [70]. SCFAs are postulated to possess neuroprotective effects through improved modulation of neuroinflammation, mitochondrial function or increased activity of antioxidative enzymes [71-73], reinforced by a reduction in SCFAs observed in fecal samples of PD patients [74, 75].

Microbiota composition is also related to AD onset. With regards to specific alterations in the gut microbiome, Bifidobacterium and Phascolarcto-Proteobacteria. bacterium were more abundant in AD, whereas Firmicutes, Clostridiaceae, Lachnospiraceae and Rikenellaceae were less abundant in AD when compared with healthy controls [76]. Functional alterations in the gut microbiome of AD mice also show higher levels of amino acids phenylalanine and isoleucine in feces and in the circulation, which promotes helper T-cell proliferation and differentiation, that correlates to microglia-predominated neuroinflammation [77]. Interestingly, individuals in the preliminary stages of disease progression have a lowered microbial diversity in the gut and similar signs of dysbiosis to counterparts with later-stage AD [78]. One study demonstrated that mouse models of AD brought up in germ-free conditions had a reduced accumulation of β-amyloid protein as well as Iba-1-positive microglia, leading to an overall reduction in neuroinflammation [79]. Another experiment involving fecal transplants from healthy mice into an AD mouse model showed reduced formation of β-amyloid protein plaques, neurofibrillary tangles, glial reactivity, and cognitive impairment [80]. This study also identified that the intestinal epithelial barrier was compromised within the AD mouse model.

Discussion

There are many similarities in the bacterial flora in aging and age-related disease states that are reflective of dysbiosis and predispose individuals to a pro-inflammatory state. One such example includes a reduction in commensals that assist with maintaining the chemical barrier of the intestine and mitigating the expansion of pathobionts like Enterobacteriaceae and Clostridium spp. [81, 82]. An elevation of pathobionts such as those belonging to Proteobacteria, a phylum associated with dysbiosis [83], is also observed in disease states and aging [28]. Another signature in both aging and many diseases is disruption of intestinal barrier integrity, which permits the unregulated passage of bacterially produced endotoxins and metabolites into the bloodstream [84]. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) are endotoxins released by bacterial strains from Enterobacteriaceae, a family associated with old age, NAFLD and CMD [24, 44, 54] as well as PD [68]. LPS production elevates secretion of local inflammatory cytokines, inducing a heightened immune response [85]. A leaky gut has been attributed to a reduction in antiinflammatory metabolites such as SCFAs [86] which help to maintain intestinal barrier function, promote epithelial cellular proliferation, and serve as a primary energy source for colonocytes [87].

The gut microbiome is largely connected to other organ systems which are in turn affected by alterations in composition and intestinal permeability. For example, the intestine is responsible for delivering over 70% of blood to the liver, which can expose it to the harmful metabolites or toxins that enter the circulation due to a leaky gut [88]. Besides the liver, another important interaction is the gutbrain axis, the two-way connection between central and enteric nervous systems (ENS) [89, 90]. This connection has implications for neurological disease, as the results demonstrate that inflammation induced by gut bacterial species promotes neurodegeneration in both AD and PD [69, 70, 77, 79]. The ENS also has functional connections with other organs and tissues of the body such as the heart, muscle, pancreas, and bone that are influenced by microbiota activity [91]. Thus, disruption of microbial communities in the gut can negatively affect these pathways and induce pathology throughout the body via inflammaging [92].

These findings also highlight the unique gut profile of long-lived individuals, which offers important insights into successful aging as these individuals have been able to mitigate disease states and reduce pro-inflammatory status over time [22]. This implies that 'youthful' or beneficial microbial signatures displayed in later stages of life may not only be a key indicator of longevity but a pathway to preserving health as well [33].

A decline in functional and compositional richness with old age may be rationalized by factors such as a reduction in diet quality and residential status, with adults in long-term care displaying lower microbial diversity compared to community-dwelling adults [93]. Moreover, increased antibiotic use is common among the elderly which can cause disturbances in the microbial environment, resulting in a reduction in taxonomic richness, diversity, and evenness [94]. Other significant factors that lead to a decline in microbial stability include increased sedentary behaviour and decreased intestinal function and motility [95, 96].

Conclusions

Despite clear links between age-related disease and microbiome composition, it remains unclear whether the age-related changes in the microbiome are reflective of or caused by aging. There are many factors that contribute to disease states with ties to the microbiome such as gutbarrier integrity, interactions with other organ systems or microbial compositional alterations, rendering it more difficult to determine a causal relationship with ageassociated changes. Although this study has focused on the negative implications of the microbiome in aging and disease, these findings outline the potential of improving microbial composition in the gut to prolong the health span of older individuals. This may be accomplished through interventions such as fecal microbiota transplant and supplementation of probiotics, prebiotics, or a combination of the two [25]. Future studies are encouraged to investigate the validity of these therapies in delaying some of the pathophysiological consequences of aging.

List of Abbreviations Used

AD: Alzheimer's disease ASO: alpha-synuclein-overexpressing CMD: cardio-metabolic disease ENS: enteric nervous system GIT: gastrointestinal tract IBD: inflammatory bowel disease LPS: lipopolysaccharide NAFLD: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease PD: Parkinson's disease SCFA: short chain fatty acids SPF: specific pathogen free TMA: trimethylamine TMAO: trimethylamine oxide

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Ethics Approval and/or Participant Consent

No ethical approval or participant consent was required for this study as this review was conducted solely from preexisting literature.

Authors' Contributions

ALK: made contributions to the design, collected, and analysed data from pre-existing literature, drafted the manuscript and gave final approval of the version to be published.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to acknowledge their mentor Samantha Holmes for providing writing assistance, feedback and general support throughout the development of this review.

Funding

This study was not funded.

References

- [1] Kontis V, Bennett JE, Mathers CD, Li GQ, Foreman K, Ezzati M. Future life expectancy in 35 industrialised countries: Projections with a Bayesian model ensemble. Lancet. 2017;389(10076):1323-35. <u>http://d</u> <u>x.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)32381-9</u>
- [2] Kennedy BK, Berger SL, Brunet A, Campisi J, Cuervo AM, Epel ES, et al. Geroscience: Linking aging to chronic disease. Cell. 2014;159(4):709-13. <u>http://dx.d</u> oi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.039
- [3] Niccoli T, Partridge L. Ageing as a risk factor for disease. Current Biology. 2012;22(17):R741-R52. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.024</u>
- [4] Cresci GAM, Izzo K. Chapter 4 Gut microbiome. In: Corrigan M, Roberts K, and Steiger E, editors. Adult Short Bowel Syndrome: Nutritional, Medical and Surgical Management. Academic Press; 2019. p. 45-54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814330-8.00004-4</u>
- [5] The Human Microbiome Consortium. A framework for human microbiome research. Nature. 2012;486:215-221 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11209</u>
- [6] Arrieta MC, Finlay BB. The commensal microbiota drives immune homeostasis. Frontiers in Immunology. 2012;3:6. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2012.00033</u>
- [7] Sommer F, Bäckhed F. The gut microbiota Masters of host development and physiology. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2013;11(4):227-38. <u>https://doi.org/10.10</u> <u>38/nrmicro2974</u>
- [8] Adak A, Khan MR. An insight into gut microbiota and its functionalities. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2019;76(3):473-93. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00018-018-2943-4</u>
- [9] LeBlanc JG, Milani C, de Giori GS, Sesma F, van Sinderen D, Ventura M. Bacteria as vitamin suppliers to their host: A gut microbiota perspective. Current Opinion in Biotechnology. 2013;24(2):160-8. <u>http://d</u> <u>x.doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2012.08.005</u>
- [10] Round JL, Mazmanian SK. The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses during health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009;9(5):313-23. <u>http://dx.doi.or</u> g/10.1038/nri2515

- [11] Thursby E, Juge N. Introduction to the human gut microbiota. Biochemical Journal. 2017;474(11):1823-36. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20160510</u>
- [12] Zheng D, Liwinski T, Elinav E. Interaction between microbiota and immunity in health and disease. Cell Reas. 2020;30:492-506. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41</u> 422-020-0332-7
- [13] Groer MW, Luciano AA, Dishaw LJ, Ashmeade TL, Miller E, Gilbert JA. Development of the preterm infant gut microbiome: A research priority. Microbiome. 2014;2:38. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/20</u> 49-2618-2-38
- [14] Martino C, Dilmore AH, Burcham ZM, Metcalf JL, Jeste D, Knight R. Microbiota succession throughout life from the cradle to the grave. 2022;20:707-20 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00768-z</u>
- [15] Imchen M, Salim SA, Kumavath R, Busi S. Factors affecting the composition of the human microbiome. In: Kothari V, Kumar P, Ray S, editors. Probiotics, Prebiotics, Symbiotics and Postbiotics: Human Microbiome and Human Health. Springer. 2023. p.49-63 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-1463-0_3</u>
- [16] Fan Y, Pedersen O. Gut microbiota in human metabolic health and disease. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2021;19(1):55-71. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-0433-9</u>
- [17] Bäckhed F, Ley RE, Sonnenburg JL, Peterson DA, Gordon JI. Host-bacterial mutualism in the human intestine. Science. 2005;307(5717):1915-20. <u>http://dx.d</u> oi.org/10.1126/science.1104816
- [18] Lozupone CA, Stombaugh JI, Gordon JI, Jansson JK, Knight R. Diversity, stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota. Nature. 2012;489(7415):220-30. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11550</u>
- [19] Petersen C, Round JL. Defining dysbiosis and its influence on host immunity and disease. Cell Microbiol. 2014;16(7):1024-33. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.11</u> <u>11/cmi.12308</u>
- [20] Haran JP, McCormick BA. Aging, frailty, and the microbiome-How dysbiosis influences human aging and disease. Gastroenterology. 2021;160(2):507-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.09.060
- [21] Keshav S. How to read a paper. Acm Sigcomm Computer Communication Review. 2007;37(3):83-4. https://doi.org/10.1145/1273445.1273458
- [22] Biagi E, Franceschi C, Rampelli S, Severgnini M, Ostan R, Turroni S, et al. Gut microbiota and extreme longevity. Current Biology. 2016;26(11):1480-5. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.04.016</u>
- [23] Badal VD, Vaccariello ED, Murray ER, Yu KE, Knight R, Jeste DV, Nguyen TT. The gut microbiome, aging, and longevity: A systematic review. Nutrients. 2020;12(12):3759 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12123759i</u>

- [24] Rampelli S, Candela M, Turroni S, Biagi E, Collino S, Franceschi C, et al. Functional metagenomic profiling of intestinal microbiome in extreme ageing. Aging-Us. 2013;5(12):902-12. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.18632/agin g.100623</u>
- [25] Nagpal R, Mainali R, Ahmadi S, Wang S, Singh R, Kavanagh K, et al. Gut microbiome and aging: Physiological and mechanistic insights. Nutr Healthy Aging. 2018;4(4):267-85. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/NH</u> <u>A-170030</u>
- [26] Wilmanski T, Diener C, Rappaport N, Patwardhan S, Wiedrick J, Lapidus J, et al. Gut microbiome pattern reflects healthy ageing and predicts survival in humans. Nature Metabolism. 2021;3(2): 274-86. <u>http://dx.doi.or</u> g/10.1038/s42255-021-00348-0
- [27] Claesson MJ, Cusack S, O'Sullivan O, Greene-Diniz R, de Weerd H, Flannery E, et al. Composition, variability, and temporal stability of the intestinal microbiota of the elderly. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2011;108:4586-91. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.100</u> 0097107
- [28] Odamaki T, Kato K, Sugahara H, Hashikura N, Takahashi S, Xiao JZ, et al. Age-related changes in gut microbiota composition from newborn to centenarian: A cross-sectional study. BMC Microbiology. 2016;16(1). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-07</u> <u>08-5</u>
- [29] Haran JP, Bucci V, Dutta P, Ward D, McCormick B. The nursing home elder microbiome stability and associations with age, frailty, nutrition and physical location. Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2018; 67(1):40-51. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.000640</u>
- [30] Thevaranjan N, Puchta A, Schulz C, Naidoo A, Szamosi JC, Verschoor CP, et al. Age-associated microbial dysbiosis promotes intestinal permeability, systemic inflammation, and macrophage dysfunction. Cell Host Microbe. 2018;23(4):570. <u>http://dx.doi.org/1</u> 0.1016/j.chom.2018.03.006
- [31] Wang H, Wang G, Banerjee N, Liang Y, Du X, Boor PJ, et al. Aberrant gut microbiome contributes to intestinal oxidative stress, barrier dysfunction, inflammation and systemic autoimmune responses in MRL/lpr mice. Front Immunol. 2021;12. <u>http://dx.do</u> i.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.651191
- [32] Rera M, Clark RI, Walker DW. Intestinal barrier dysfunction links metabolic and inflammatory markers of aging to death in Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012;109(52):21528-33. <u>http://dx.doi.or</u> g/10.1073/pnas.1215849110

- [33] Pang SF, Chen XD, Lu ZL, Meng LL, Huang Y, Yu XQ, et al. Longevity of centenarians is reflected by the gut microbiome with youth-associated signatures. Nature Aging. 2023;3(4):436-99. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s43587-023-00389-y</u>
- [34] Yu XM, Wu XL, Qiu L, Wang DY, Gan M, Chen XX, et al. Analysis of the intestinal microbial community structure of healthy and long-living elderly residents in Gaotian Village of Liuyang City. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2015;99(21):9085-95. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6888-3</u>
- [35] Kim BS, Choi CW, Shin H, Jin SP, Bae JS, Han M, et al. Comparison of the gut microbiota of centenarians in longevity villages of South Korea with those of other age groups. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2019;29(3):429-40. <u>http://dx.doi.or</u> g/10.4014/jmb.1811.11023
- [36] Wu L, Zeng TS, Zinellu A, Rubino S, Kelvin DJ, Carru C. A cross-sectional study of compositional and functional profiles of gut microbiota in Sardinian centenarians. Msystems. 2019;4(4). <u>http://dx.doi.or</u> g/10.1128/mSystems.00325-19
- [37] Wong SH, Yu J. Gut microbiota in colorectal cancer: mechanisms of action and clinical applications. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2019;16(11): 690-704. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41575-019-0209-8</u>
- [38] Brennan CA, Garrett WS. gut microbiota, inflammation, and colorectal cancer. Annual Review of Microbiology. 2016;70:395-411. <u>http://dx.doi.or</u> g/10.1146/annurev-micro-102215-095513
- [39] Gagnière J, Raisch J, Veziant J, Barnich N, Bonnet R, Buc E, et al. Gut microbiota imbalance and colorectal cancer. World Journal of Gastroenterology. 2016;22(2) :501-18. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v22.i2.501</u>
- [40] Dejea C, Wick E, Sears CL. Bacterial oncogenesis in the colon. Future Microbiol. 2013;8(4):445-60. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/fmb.13.17</u>
- [41] Dahiya D, Nigam PS. Biotherapy using probiotics as therapeutic agents to restore the gut microbiota to relieve gastrointestinal tract inflammation, IBD, IBS and prevent induction of cancer. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2023; 24(6):5748. <u>https://do i.org/10.3390/ijms24065748</u>
- [42] Faye AS, Colombel JF. Aging and IBD: A new challenge for clinicians and researchers. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2022;28(1):126-132. <u>http://dx.doi.org/1</u> 0.1093/ibd/izab039
- [43] Lee H, Lobbestael E, Vermeire S, Sabino J, Cleynen. Inflammatory bowel disease and Parkinson's disease: Common pathophysiological links. Gut 2021;70:408-417. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322429</u>
- [44] Jie ZY, Xia HH, Zhong SL, Feng Q, Li SH, Liang SS, et al. The gut microbiome in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Nature Communications. 2017; 8(1). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00900-1</u>

- [45] Cui X, Ye L, Li J, Jin L, Wang WJ, Li SY, et al. Metagenomic and metabolomic analyses unveil dysbiosis of gut microbiota in chronic heart failure patients. Scientific Reports. 2018;8(1). <u>http://dx.doi.o</u> <u>rg/10.1038/s41598-017-18756-2</u>
- [46] Senthong V, Wang Z, Li XMS, Fan YY, Wu YP, Tang WHW, et al. Intestinal microbiota-generated metabolite trimethylamine-N-oxide and 5-year mortality risk in stable coronary artery disease: The contributory role of intestinal microbiota in a COURAGE-like patient cohort. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2016;5(6). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.115.002816</u>
- [47] Haghikia A, Li XMS, Liman TG, Bledau N, Schmidt D, Zimmermann F, et al. Gut microbiota-dependent trimethylamine N-oxide predicts risk of cardiovascular events in patients with stroke and is related to proinflammatory monocytes. Arteriosclerosis Thrombosis and Vascular Biology. 2018;38(9):2225-35. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.118.311023</u>
- [48] Tang WHW, Wang ZE, Levison BS, Koeth RA, Britt EB, Fu XM, et al. Intestinal microbial metabolism of phosphatidylcholine and cardiovascular risk. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013;368(17):1575-84. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1109400</u>
- [49] Yang T, Santisteban MM, Rodriguez V, Li E, Ahmari N, Carvajal JM, et al. Gut dysbiosis is linked to hypertension. Hypertension. 2015;65(6):1331-40. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.</u> 05315
- [50] Toral M, Robles-Vera I, de la Visitación N, Romero M, Yang T, Sánchez M, et al. Critical role of the interaction gut microbiota - Sympathetic nervous system in the regulation of blood pressure. Frontiers in Physiology. 2019;10. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphy</u> <u>s.2019.00231</u>
- [51] Karbach SH, Schönfelder T, Brandão I, Wilms E, Hörmann N, Jäckel S, et al. Gut microbiota promote angiotensin II-induced arterial hypertension and vascular dysfunction. J Am Heart Assoc. 2016;5(9). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.003698</u>
- [52] Li F, Ye J, Shao C, Zhong B. Compositional alterations of gut microbiota in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Lipids Health Dis. 2021;20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-021-01440-w</u>
- [53] Jiang WW, Wu N, Wang XM, Chi YJ, Zhang YY, Qiu XY, et al. Dysbiosis gut microbiota associated with inflammation and impaired mucosal immune function in intestine of humans with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Scientific Reports. 2015;5(1). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep08096</u>

- [54] Zhu LX, Baker SS, Gill C, Liu WS, Alkhouri R, Baker RD, et al. Characterization of gut microbiomes in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) patients: A connection between endogenous alcohol and NASH. Hepatology. 2013;57(2):601-9. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1</u> 002/hep.26093
- [55] Del Chierico F, Nobili V, Vernocchi P, Russo A, De Stefanis C, Gnani D, et al. Gut microbiota profiling of pediatric nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and obese patients unveiled by an integrated meta-omics-based approach. Hepatology. 2017;65(2):451-64. <u>http://dx.do</u> i.org/10.1002/hep.28572
- [56] Yuan J, Chen C, Cui JH, Lu J, Yan C, Wei X, et al. Fatty liver disease caused by high-alcohol-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. Cell Metabolism.
 2019;30(4):675-88. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cm</u> <u>et.2019.08.018</u>
- [57] Rao RK, Seth A, Sheth P. Recent advances in alcoholic liver disease - I. Role of intestinal permeability and endotoxemia in alcoholic liver disease. American Journal of Physiology-Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology. 2004;286(6):G881-G4. <u>http://dx.doi.org/</u> <u>10.1152/ajpgi.00006.2004</u>
- [58] Muller PA, Matheis F, Schneeberger M, Kerner Z, Jové V, Mucida D. Microbiota-modulated CART+ enteric neurons autonomously regulate blood glucose. Science. 2020;370(6514):314-21. <u>http://dx.doi.org/1</u> 0.1126/science.abd6176
- [59] Li Q, Chang YJ, Zhang K, Chen H, Tao SH, Zhang Z. Implication of the gut microbiome composition of type 2 diabetic patients from northern China. Scientific Reports. 2020;10(1). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62224-3</u>
- [60] Zhou Z, Sun B, Yu, D, Zhu C. Gut Microbiota: An Important Player in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 2022;12 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1</u> 016/j.ebiom.2019.11.051
- [61] Qin JJ, Li YR, Cai ZM, Li SH, Zhu JF, Zhang F, et al. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. Nature. 2012;490 (7418):55-60. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11450</u>
- [62] Thaiss CA, Levy M, Grosheva I, Zheng DP, Soffer E, Blacher E, et al. Hyperglycemia drives intestinal barrier dysfunction and risk for enteric infection. Science. 2018;359(6382):1376-83. <u>http://dx.doi.org/1</u> 0.1126/science.aar3318
- [63] Romano S, Savva GM, Bedarf JR, Charles IG, Hildebrand F, Narbad A. Meta-analysis of the Parkinson's disease gut microbiome suggests alterations linked to intestinal inflammation. npj Parkinsons Dis. 2021; 7(27). <u>https://doi.org/10.10</u> <u>38/s41531-021-00156-z</u>
- [64] Hirayama M, OhnoK. Parkinson's disease and gut microbiota. Ann Nutr Metab. 2021;77: 28–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000518147</u>

- [65] Zhou S, Li B, Deng Y, et al. Meta-analysis of the relations between gut microbiota and pathogens and Parkinson's disease. Adv Clin Exp Med. 2023;32
 (6):613–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.17219/acem/157193</u>
- [66] Meade RM, Fairlie DP, Mason JM. Alpha-synuclein structure and Parkinson's disease - lessons and emer ging principles. Molecular Neurodegeneration. 2019; 14(1). http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13024-019-0329-1
- [67] Sampson TR, Debelius JW, Thron T, Janssen S, Shastri GG, Ilhan ZE, et al. Gut microbiota regulate motor deficits and neuroinflammation in a model of Parkinson's disease. Cell. 2016;167(6):1469-80. <u>http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.018</u>
- [68] Sampson TR, Challis C, Jain N, Moiseyenko A, Ladinsky MS, Shastri GG, et al. A gut bacterial amyloid promotes α-synuclein aggregation and motor impairment in mice. Elife. 2020;9. <u>http://dx.do</u> <u>i.org/10.7554/eLife.53111</u>
- [69] Forsyth CB, Shannon KM, Kordower JH, Voigt RM, Shaikh M, Jaglin JA, et al. Increased intestinal permeability correlates with sigmoid mucosa alphasynuclein staining and endotoxin exposure markers in early Parkinson's disease. Plos One. 2011;6(12). http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028032
- [70] Hirsch EC, Hunot S. Neuroinflammation in Parkinson's disease: A target for neuroprotection? Lancet Neurology. 2009;8(4):382-97. <u>http://dx.doi.org/1</u> 0.1016/S1474-4422(09)70062-6
- [71] St Laurent R, O'Brien LM, Ahmad ST. Sodium butyrate improves locomotor impairment and early mortality in a rotenone-induced Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. Neuroscience. 2013;246:382-90. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.04.037</u>
- [72] Yadav S, Dwivedi A, Tripathi A, Tripathi AK. Therapeutic potential of short-chain fatty acid production by gut microbiota in neurodegenerative disorders. Nutrition Research;106:72–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutres.2022.07.007</u>
- [73] Gardian G, Yang LC, Cleren C, Calingasan NY, Klivenyi P, Beal MF. Neuroprotective effects of phenylbutyrate against MPTP neurotoxicity. Neuromolecular Medicine. 2004;5(3):235-41. <u>http:// dx.doi.org/10.1385/NMM:5:3:235</u>
- [74] Unger MM, Spiegel J, Dillmann KU, Grundmann D, Philippeit H, Bürmann J, et al. Short chain fatty acids and gut microbiota differ between patients with Parkinson's disease and age-matched controls. Parkinsonism & Related Disorders. 2016;32:66-72. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2016.08.019</u>
- [75] Keshavarzian A, Green SJ, Engen PA, Voigt RM, Naqib A, Forsyth CB, et al. Colonic bacterial composition in Parkinson's disease. Movement Disorders. 2015;30(10):1351-60. <u>http://dx.doi.org/1</u> 0.1002/mds.26307

- [76] Hung CC, Chang CC, Huang CW, Nouchi R, Cheng CH. Gut microbiota in patients with Alzheimer's disease spectrum: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Aging. 2022;14(1):477-96. <u>https://dx.doi.o</u> <u>rg/10.18632/aging.203826</u>
- [77] Wang XY, Sun GQ, Feng T, Zhang J, Huang X, Wang T, et al. Sodium oligomannate therapeutically remodels gut microbiota and suppresses gut bacterial amino acids-shaped neuroinflammation to inhibit Alzheimer's disease progression. Cell Research. 2019;29(10):787-803. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41422-019-0216-x</u>
- [78] Li BY, He YX, Ma JF, Huang P, Du JJ, Cao L, et al. Mild cognitive impairment has similar alterations as Alzheimer's disease in gut microbiota. Alzheimers & Dementia. 2019;15(10):1357-66. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1</u> 016/j.jalz.2019.07.002
- [79] Harach T, Marungruang N, Duthilleul N, Cheatham V, Mc Coy KD, Frisoni G, et al. Reduction of Abeta amyloid pathology in APPPS1 transgenic mice in the absence of gut microbiota. Scientific Reports. 2017;7. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep41802</u>
- [80] Kim MS, Kim Y, Choi H, Kim W, Park S, Lee D, et al. Transfer of a healthy microbiota reduces amyloid and tau pathology in an Alzheimer's disease animal model. Gut. 2020;69(2):283-94. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.11</u> <u>36/gutjnl-2018-317431</u>
- [81] Vaishnava S, Behrendt CL, Ismail AS, Eckmann L, Hooper LV. Paneth cells directly sense gut commensals and maintain homeostasis at the intestinal host-microbial interface. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2008;105(52):20858-63. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pna</u> s.0808723105
- [82] Vaishnava S, Yamamoto M, Severson KM, Ruhn KA, Yu XF, Koren O, et al. The antibacterial lectin regIIIγ promotes the spatial segregation of microbiota and host in the intestine. Science. 2011;334(6053):255-8. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1209791</u>
- [83] Shin NR, Whon TW, Bae JW. Proteobacteria: Microbial signature of dysbiosis in gut microbiota. Trends in Biotechnology. 2015;33(9):496-503. <u>http://d</u> <u>x.doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2015.06.011</u>
- [84] Man AL, Gicheva N, Nicoletti C. The impact of ageing on the intestinal epithelial barrier and immune system. Cell Immunol. 2014;289(1-2):112-8. <u>http://dx.doi.or</u> g/10.1016/j.cellimm.2014.04.001
- [85] Park BS, Lee JO. Recognition of lipopolysaccharide pattern by TLR4 complexes. Exp Mol Med. 2013;45(12):e66. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/emm.20</u> <u>13.97</u>
- [86] Walrath T, Dyamenahalli KU, Hulsebus HJ, McCullough RL, Idrovo JP, Boe DM, et al. Agerelated changes in intestinal immunity and the microbiome. J Leukoc Biol. 2021;109(6):1045-61. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/JLB.3RI0620-405RR</u>

- [87] Parada Venegas D, De la Fuente MK, Landskron G, González MJ, Quera R, Dijkstra G, et al. Short chain fatty acids (SCFAs)-mediated gut epithelial and immune regulation and its relevance for inflammatory bowel diseases. Front Immunol. 2019;10:277. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00277</u>
- [88] Miele L, Marrone G, Lauritano C, Cefalo C, Gasbarrini A, Day C, et al. Gut-liver axis and microbiota in NAFLD: Insight pathophysiology for novel therapeutic target. Current Pharmaceutical Design. 2013;19(29):5314-24. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1381</u> 612811319290011
- [89] Carabotti M, Scirocco A, Maselli MA, Severi C. The gut-brain axis: Interactions between enteric microbiota, central and enteric nervous systems. Ann Gastroenterol. 2015;28(2):203-9.
- [90] Chen YW, Zhou JH, Wang L. Role and mechanism of gut microbiota in human disease. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology. 2021;11. <u>http://dx.doi.or</u> g/10.3389/fcimb.2021.625913
- [91] Ling ZX, Liu X, Cheng YW, Yan XM, Wu SC. Gut microbiota and aging. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 2022;62(13):3509-34. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2020.1867054</u>
- [92] Franceschi C, Garagnani P, Parini P, Giuliani C, Santoro A. Inflammaging: A new immune–metabolic viewpoint for age-related diseases. Nature Reviews Endocrinology. 2018;14:576-90. <u>https://doi.org/10.10</u> <u>38/s41574-018-0059-4</u>
- [93] Claesson MJ, Jeffery IB, Conde S, Power SE, O'Connor EM, Cusack S, et al. Gut microbiota composition correlates with diet and health in the elderly. Nature. 2012;488(7410):178-84. <u>http://dx.do</u> i.org/10.1038/nature11319
- [94] Dethlefsen L, Huse S, Sogin ML, Relman DA. The pervasive effects of an antibiotic on the human gut microbiota, as revealed by deep 16S rRNA sequencing. Plos Biology. 2008;6(11):2383-400. <u>http://dx.doi.org/1</u> 0.1371/journal.pbio.0060280
- [95] Shin HE, Kwak SE, Lee JH, Zhang DD, Bae JH, Song W. Exercise, the gut microbiome, and frailty. Annals of Geriatric Medicine and Research. 2019;23(3):105-14. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4235/agmr.19.0014</u>
- [96] Firth M, Prather CM. Gastrointestinal motility problems in the elderly patient. Gastroenterology. 2002;122(6):1688-700. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/ga</u> <u>st.2002.33566</u>

Article Information

Managing Editor: Jeremy Y. Ng Peer Reviewers: Samantha Holmes, Avril Metcalfe-Roach Article Dates: Received Dec 03 23; Accepted Mar 20 24; Published May 31 24

Citation

Please cite this article as follows: Kelso AL. The effects of aging on gut microbiome composition and association with age-related disease states: A literature review. URNCST Journal. 2024 May 31: 8(5). <u>https://urncst.com/index.php/urncst/article/view/556</u> DOI Link: https://doi.org/10.26685/urncst.556

Copyright

© Abaigeal L. Kelso. (2024). Published first in the Undergraduate Research in Natural and Clinical Science and Technology (URNCST) Journal. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Undergraduate Research in Natural and Clinical Science and Technology (URNCST) Journal, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <u>http://www.urncst.com</u>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.



URNCST Journal "Research in Earnest" Funded by the Government of Canada



Do you research in earnest? Submit your next undergraduate research article to the URNCST Journal! | Open Access | Peer-Reviewed | Rapid Turnaround Time | International | | Broad and Multidisciplinary | Indexed | Innovative | Social Media Promoted | Pre-submission inquiries? Send us an email at <u>info@urncst.com</u> | <u>Facebook, Twitter</u> and <u>LinkedIn</u>: @URNCST Submit YOUR manuscript today at https://www.urncst.com!