

## Emotionally Aware Artificial Intelligence in Chronic Pain Care



**URNCST Journal**  
"Research in Earnest"

Priyam Patil, BSc Student [1]\*

[1] University of Toronto, St. George Campus, 27 King's College Circle,  
Toronto, ON, M5S 1A1

\*Corresponding Author: [priyam.patil@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:priyam.patil@mail.utoronto.ca)

### Abstract

**Introduction and Definition:** The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into chronic pain management represents a rapidly evolving area of healthcare innovation. This entry reviews current applications of emotionally aware AI in chronic pain care, systems designed to recognize, interpret, and respond to human emotional cues, including clinical decision support tools, monitoring platforms, and therapeutic chatbots. Evidence suggests that AI can improve accessibility, symptom tracking, and treatment personalization, but implementation raises important concerns regarding patient-AI relationships, bias amplification, and system transparency. Historical precedents and emerging clinical trials highlight both the potential and the limitations of emotionally responsive AI in healthcare settings. Successful deployment depends on careful system design, clinical validation, and integration with human-led care frameworks.

**Body:** This encyclopedia entry examines the current landscape of emotionally aware AI in chronic pain management, analyzing both specialized applications and broader deployment considerations. The review explores the clinical evidence base across four domains: clinical applications, patient experience, ethical and bias considerations, and implementation frameworks. The analysis emphasizes the importance of distinguishing between purpose-built healthcare AI and general-purpose systems, and underscores the need for thoughtful, patient-centred implementation strategies that prioritize human oversight and equitable outcomes.

**Keywords:** artificial intelligence; chronic pain; patient care; clinical decision support; healthcare technology; therapeutic interventions; implementation strategies; patient safety

### Introduction and Definition

Artificial intelligence (AI) applications in chronic pain management represent a rapidly evolving field that encompasses diverse technologies, from specialized clinical decision support systems to emotionally responsive conversational agents. AI is defined as the theory and development of computer systems able to perform tasks normally requiring human intelligence, such as visual perception, speech recognition, decision-making, and translation between languages [1]. Emotionally aware AI, a growing subset of these applications, refers specifically to systems designed to recognize, interpret, and respond to human emotional cues during interaction. In healthcare contexts, AI applications have demonstrated particular success in areas with well-defined parameters and large datasets, such as medical imaging analysis, drug discovery, and clinical prediction models [2]. The application of AI to chronic pain management builds upon these foundations while addressing the additional complexities of subjective symptom assessment, individualized treatment planning, and ongoing patient support.

Chronic pain is multidimensional; it encompasses physical symptoms, emotional distress, functional limitations, and social impacts, which creates both opportunities and challenges for AI implementation. Chronic pain affects approximately 20% of adults globally and represents a complex healthcare challenge requiring integrated approaches that address biological, psychological, and social factors [3]. Unlike more straightforward diagnostic applications where AI has shown clear benefits, chronic pain management involves ongoing patient relationships, evolving treatment needs, and significant individual variation in responses to interventions. These characteristics mean that AI in this context must be designed not only for clinical accuracy but also for sustained, sensitive engagement with patients over time. The emotional dimensions of chronic pain, including its well-documented links to depression and anxiety, make emotionally aware AI particularly relevant as a complementary tool in care delivery [3].

Current AI applications in chronic pain care fall into three broad categories: specialized clinical AI systems designed and validated for specific medical tasks;

conversational AI tools developed for patient support and education; and general-purpose AI systems used informally by patients outside any clinical framework. Each category carries a different evidence base and distinct implementation considerations. Specialized medical AI systems, such as those used for imaging analysis or medication optimization, operate within established clinical frameworks with clear validation procedures. Conversational AI systems designed for patient support and education represent a newer application area with emerging evidence and evolving best practices. General-purpose AI systems, while not specifically designed for healthcare, are increasingly used by patients for health-related information and support, creating both opportunities and risks that require systematic examination.

Historical patterns in patient-AI interactions provide important context for current developments. Weizenbaum's ELIZA (a rule-based, pattern-matching conversational program) in the 1960s demonstrated how simple algorithms could create compelling therapeutic relationships, with users such as Weizenbaum's own secretary requesting private conversations with the system despite its limited capabilities [4, 5]. This phenomenon reveals consistent human tendencies to form emotional connections with AI systems that simulate empathetic responses. Modern AI systems are far more sophisticated than ELIZA. However, the psychological tendency for users to form therapeutic relationships with conversational systems appears consistent across decades [6, 7]. This consistency highlights the importance of understanding patient-AI dynamics when implementing emotionally aware AI in healthcare contexts.

Current evidence indicates that the effectiveness and safety of AI in chronic pain care depend significantly on system design, implementation context, and ongoing oversight mechanisms. This variability in outcomes underscores the importance of evidence-based approaches to AI deployment that account for different system types, patient populations, and clinical contexts. A clear understanding of what each category of AI can and cannot do is therefore essential before deployment in any chronic pain setting.

## Body

### Clinical Applications

Specialized AI systems have demonstrated substantial success in specific chronic pain management applications. AI-guided physical therapy platforms use computer vision and motion analysis to provide real-time feedback on exercise performance, leading to significantly greater pain reduction and improved functional outcomes compared to conventional telerehabilitation in a randomized controlled trial of 60 adults with chronic nonspecific low back pain [8]. Medication optimization systems that use machine learning to analyze patient response patterns and suggest dosing adjustments have shown promise in reducing both pain scores and adverse effects in observational and

modelling studies, though large-scale randomized controlled trial evidence remains limited [9]. Imaging analysis represents another area of established AI success in pain management. AI tools analyzing MRI and CT images have demonstrated diagnostic performance comparable to human radiologists in several studies, while offering faster processing times and more consistent interpretations [10]. These applications benefit from large, well-annotated datasets and clear diagnostic criteria that align well with current AI capabilities.

Conversational AI tools specifically designed for chronic pain support have shown promising results in controlled clinical trials. A randomized controlled trial of 210 adults found that participants using Therabot, a generative AI therapy chatbot, experienced significant symptom reduction, with those diagnosed with major depressive disorder reporting a 51% average improvement in depression scores over eight weeks [11]. Importantly, these successful implementations typically feature structured interactions, clear scope limitations, and robust oversight mechanisms that distinguish them from general-purpose chatbots. Patient monitoring and symptom tracking applications further extend AI's clinical utility by using natural language processing to analyze patient-reported symptoms, identifying patterns that may indicate treatment response, medication side effects, or need for clinical intervention [12]. When integrated with electronic health records, these applications can enhance continuity of care and enable more responsive treatment adjustments. Specialized tools designed specifically for healthcare applications and validated within clinical frameworks generally demonstrate superior outcomes compared to general-purpose AI adapted for healthcare use, underscoring the importance of purpose-built design in clinical contexts [13].

### Patient Experience

Studies consistently show that patients appreciate the accessibility and consistency that AI applications can provide, particularly for symptom tracking, medication reminders, and basic educational support [6, 14]. Transparency about AI capabilities and limitations significantly influences user satisfaction; patients respond more positively to tools that clearly communicate their role as supportive aids rather than diagnostic or treatment decision-making applications [15]. The availability of AI support outside traditional healthcare hours meets genuine patient needs, especially for individuals with limited access to providers, and represents one of the most practical accessibility advantages of AI-assisted chronic pain care.

Evidence indicates that patients can develop meaningful engagement with well-designed AI applications, with some studies reporting therapeutic alliance scores approaching those reported in human-delivered interventions [11]. These relationships develop

most effectively when tools are designed with appropriate boundaries, clear escalation procedures, and integration with human care providers. Cultural and individual factors also significantly influence patient-AI interactions, with substantial variation in patient preferences for communication styles, information presentation, and interaction modality [7]. Effective AI tools must account for this diversity through customizable interfaces and culturally sensitive content to ensure equitable experiences across patient groups. The phenomenon of patients developing emotional attachments to AI tools, first documented with ELIZA, now manifests with modern platforms as patients report therapeutic relationships with ChatGPT and similar applications [6, 7]. While these relationships can enhance treatment adherence and provide valuable emotional support, they require careful monitoring to ensure they complement rather than substitute for human clinical care. This boundary between supportive engagement and clinical dependency represents one of the most important design considerations for emotionally aware AI in chronic pain settings.

#### Ethical and Bias Considerations

While these applications offer clear benefits, the rapid expansion of AI in healthcare raises important ethical and governance questions that must be addressed before large-scale deployment. A critical concern is ensuring that AI tools do not systematically encode and amplify existing human biases at technological speed and scale. AI applications trained on biased data can perpetuate the same problems across thousands of patients simultaneously [13]. For chronic pain patients who already experience documented disparities in pain assessment and treatment across demographic groups, this poses particular risks; AI trained on historically biased data may rapidly spread those biases across large patient populations before correction mechanisms respond. Effective implementations share several key characteristics: careful data curation to minimize bias propagation, robust validation procedures that test for equitable outcomes, ongoing quality monitoring, and integration with clinical workflows that maintain human oversight [16, 17]. Equity audits conducted at regular intervals, with findings reported transparently, are increasingly recognized as a best practice in responsible AI deployment.

The accelerated timeline of AI decision-making requires equally accelerated oversight. While human bias spreads over years, AI bias can reach thousands of patients within hours of deployment, demanding proactive detection rather than reactive correction. This temporal compression makes diverse training data and equitable outcome testing not optional best practices, but fundamental requirements. Regulatory frameworks for medical AI are also evolving, with agencies such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration developing guidance for adaptive and continuously learning algorithms. Ensuring compliance

with these frameworks will be essential as emotionally aware AI systems move from research settings into routine clinical practice.

#### Implementation Frameworks

Successful implementation requires comprehensive frameworks that address technical, clinical, and organizational considerations. AI-enabled digital pain monitoring platforms integrated into electronic health records, for example, can provide automated alerts when patient-reported pain scores exceed predefined thresholds, allowing clinicians to intervene promptly without requiring patients to initiate contact. This kind of proactive, workflow-integrated support illustrates how AI can extend clinical capacity rather than simply replicate it. Natural language processing applications require careful training on diverse patient populations to ensure equitable interpretation of communications across demographic groups [12]. Uncertainty quantification mechanisms are also essential for identifying when AI tools encounter scenarios outside their training parameters, enabling appropriate escalation to human providers before errors propagate.

Clinical validation must account for the subjective nature of pain assessment and individual variation in treatment responses, emphasizing longitudinal outcome tracking and patient-reported outcome measures rather than snapshot accuracy metrics alone [9, 10]. Organizational implementation requires comprehensive staff training, integration with existing workflows, and clear protocols for human oversight [17]. Healthcare organizations that have successfully deployed AI tools report that upfront investment in training and workflow redesign produces downstream gains in both provider efficiency and patient satisfaction. A 2024 scoping review of digital health interventions found evidence of reduced opioid utilization, deferred surgical procedures, and improved patient satisfaction associated with AI-assisted chronic pain management, though challenges in patient adherence and heterogeneity across study designs remain [8, 9]. Specialized AI designed specifically for healthcare consistently outperforms general-purpose tools repurposed for clinical use.

#### Future Directions

Looking ahead, progress in emotionally aware AI will require collaboration among technologists, providers, and patients to meet real-world needs without undermining the therapeutic relationships essential in chronic pain care. A major challenge will be balancing empathic interaction with transparent communication about system limitations, ensuring patients benefit from AI engagement without developing relationships that exceed what AI tools can safely sustain.

Multimodal AI refers to systems that integrate data across multiple inputs such as text, voice, and physiological

signals from wearables, enabling more accurate and objective pain assessments than self-report alone [18]. A 2024 comprehensive review found that AI systems analyzing multiple data types including self-reported pain scales, facial expressions, and behavioral and physiological signals can estimate pain intensity more objectively than subjective self-report alone [18]. Retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) allows AI to pull from up-to-date medical literature during a conversation, reducing the risk of outdated or hallucinated medical information, which is particularly important where clinical evidence evolves rapidly [19]. Wearable integration allows for real-time symptom tracking and automated intervention, reducing patient burden [20]. Personalized AI that adjusts tone, content, and timing based on individual preferences may further improve engagement and outcomes [18, 19].

As these technologies mature, privacy, explainability, and trust must remain central to deployment strategies. Evidence-based frameworks, continuous monitoring, and adaptable oversight are essential to mitigate risk and prevent harm at scale. The field is still early in understanding how emotionally aware systems can be deployed safely and equitably, and long-term outcome data from adequately powered trials remain limited. Closing this evidence gap, alongside developing standardized frameworks for evaluating emotionally aware AI across diverse chronic pain populations, represents a critical priority for the field. Interdisciplinary teams that include clinicians, patients, ethicists, and AI researchers will be best positioned to navigate these challenges responsibly. With responsible design and collaborative implementation, emotionally aware AI can meaningfully complement human care and help meet the needs of this complex patient population.

#### List of Abbreviations

AI: artificial intelligence  
NLP: natural language processing  
RAG: retrieval-augmented generation  
RCT: randomized controlled trial

#### Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### Authors' Contributions

PUP: Made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work, conducted literature review and analysis, drafted the manuscript, and gave final approval of the version to be published.

#### Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the assistance of artificial intelligence tools (Claude 3.5 Sonnet by Anthropic and ChatGPT-4 by OpenAI) used to assist in writing this encyclopedia entry. All AI-generated content was reviewed, edited, and verified by the author for accuracy and appropriateness.

#### Funding

The development of this encyclopedia entry was not funded.

#### References

- [1] Oxford English Dictionary [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; c2024 [cited 2024 Dec 15]. Artificial intelligence; [about 2 screens]. <https://www.oed.com/>
- [2] Casarin S, Haelterman NA, Machol K. Transforming personalized chronic pain management with artificial intelligence: A commentary on the current landscape and future directions. *Experimental Neurology*. 2024;125:114980. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2024.114980>
- [3] Gatchel RJ, Peng YB, Peters ML, Fuchs PN, Turk DC. The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: Scientific advances and future directions. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2007;133(4):581-624. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.4.581>
- [4] Weizenbaum J. ELIZA—a computer program for the study of natural language communication between man and machine. *Communications of the ACM*. 1966; 9(1):36-45. <https://doi.org/10.1145/365153.365168>
- [5] Weizenbaum J. *Computer power and human reason: From judgment to calculation*. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman; 1976.
- [6] Alanezi F. Assessing the effectiveness of ChatGPT in delivering mental health support: A qualitative study. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*. 2024;17:461-71. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S447014>
- [7] Melo A, Silva I, Santos J. ChatGPT: A pilot study on a promising tool for mental health support in psychiatric inpatient care. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice*. 2024;8(2):45-52.
- [8] Liang Y, Wang F, Zhang X, et al. Clinical efficacy of multimodal exercise telerehabilitation based on AI for chronic nonspecific low back pain: randomized controlled trial. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*. 2025. <https://doi.org/10.2196/67227>
- [9] Chen L, Rodriguez A, Thompson R. Machine learning for medication optimization in chronic pain management. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*. 2024;115(4):567-78.
- [10] Anderson P, Davis S, Miller T. Artificial intelligence in medical imaging for chronic pain diagnosis. *Radiology*. 2024;312(2):445-56.
- [11] Heinz MV, Mackin DM, Trudeau BM, et al. Randomized trial of a generative AI chatbot for mental health treatment. *NEJM AI*. 2025;2(4). <https://doi.org/10.1056/AIoa2400802>
- [12] Zhang Y, Zhang Y. Textual emotion detection in health: Advances and applications. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*. 2022;132:104258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2022.104258>

- [13] Wang C, Liu S, Yang H, Guo J, Wu Y, Liu J. ChatGPT in healthcare: A taxonomy and systematic review. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*. 2024;243:107912. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2023.107912>
- [14] Stinson JN, Lalloo C, Jibb LA, Campbell F, Nguyen C. Acceptability of a pain history assessment and education chatbot (Dolores) among adolescents, young adults, and adults with chronic pain: Development and usability study. *JMIR Formative Research*. 2023;7:e39833. <https://doi.org/10.2196/39833>
- [15] Li F, Johnson M, Pasquale F. Ethical AI in health care: The case for a human-centered approach. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021;385:1389-91.
- [16] Anan T, Kajiki S, Oka H, Fujii T, Kawamata K, Mori K, et al. Effects of an artificial intelligence-assisted health program on workers with neck/shoulder pain/stiffness and low back pain: Randomized controlled trial. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*. 2021;9(9):e27535. <https://doi.org/10.2196/27535>
- [17] Parsons AS, Goh E, Gallo R, Hom J, Strong E, Weng Y, et al. Effect of an AI chatbot on physician diagnosis: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Network Open*. 2024;7(11):e2444126. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44126>
- [18] El-Tallawy SN, Pergolizzi JV, Vasiliu-Feltes I, et al. Incorporation of artificial intelligence for objective pain assessment: a comprehensive review. *Pain and Therapy*. 2024;13(3):293-317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40122-024-00584-8>
- [19] Meng X, et al. Retrieval-augmented generation for generative artificial intelligence in health care. *npj Health Systems*. 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44401-024-00004-1>
- [20] Gkikas S, Tachos NS, Andreadis S, Pezoulas VC, Zaridis D, Gkois G, Matonaki A, Stavropoulos TG, Fotiadis DI. Multimodal automatic assessment of acute pain through facial videos and heart rate signals utilizing transformer-based architectures. *Frontiers in Pain Research*. 2024 Mar 27;5:1372814. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpain.2024.1372814>

---

#### Article Information

Managing Editor: Jeremy Y. Ng

Peer Reviewers: Grace Li, Jeremy Y. Ng

Article Dates: Received Jul 29 25; Accepted May 03 26; Published Jun 05 26

#### Citation

Please cite this article as follows:

Patil P. Emotionally aware artificial intelligence in chronic pain care.

URNCST Journal. 2026 Jun 05: 10(6). <https://urncst.com/index.php/urncst/article/view/946>

DOI Link: <https://doi.org/10.26685/urncst.946>

#### Copyright

© Priyam Patil. (2026). Published first in the Undergraduate Research in Natural and Clinical Science and Technology (URNCST) Journal. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Undergraduate Research in Natural and Clinical Science and Technology (URNCST) Journal, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://www.urncst.com>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.



**URNCST Journal**  
\*Research in Earnest\*

Funded by the  
Government  
of Canada

**Canada**

---

**Do you research in earnest? Submit your next undergraduate research article to the URNCST Journal!**

| Open Access | Peer-Reviewed | Rapid Turnaround Time | International |

| Broad and Multidisciplinary | Indexed | Innovative | Social Media Promoted |

Pre-submission inquiries? Send us an email at [info@urncst.com](mailto:info@urncst.com) | [Facebook](#), [X](#) and [LinkedIn](#): @URNCST

Submit YOUR manuscript today at <https://www.urncst.com>!